

The Vierbein Formalism and Energy-Momentum Tensor of Spinors

Ying-Qiu Gu^{*}

School of Mathematical Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: 22nd April 2009)

In order to study the dynamical behavior of the coupling system of the space-time and Fermions, the explicit form of the energy-momentum tensor of the spinors in curved space-time is wanted. The energy-momentum tensor is closely related to the vierbein formalism, which can not be uniquely determined by the metric. This flexibility increases difficulties to derive the equations and easily leads to ambiguous results. In this paper, we define a spinor coefficient tensor $S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}$ and give some explicit relations between vierbein formalism and metric, and then derive the explicit forms of energy-momentum tensor for both the Weyl spinor and the Dirac bispinor.

Keywords: vierbein formalism, spinor connection, energy-momentum tensor

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Fy, 04.20.-q, 11.10.-z

I. INTRODUCTION

The spinor field is the most sensitive to the gravitational one. This very property of the spinor field leads many researchers to investigate the self-consistent system of the spinor and the gravitational fields in recent years. These works gave rise to a number of interesting results. The introduction of the spinor field into the system in question results in an accelerated mode of evolution [1, 2], while some special choice of spinor field nonlinearity provides singularity-free cosmological solutions [3, 4]. In these works, the authors mainly computed the results with the diagonal metric, and in this case the energy momentum tensor is simple and can be directly calculated from Lagrangian of the spinor field. However, the general energy-momentum tensor of spinors in curved space-time has been not clearly derived. As

^{*}Electronic address: yqgu@fudan.edu.cn

shown below, a few approaches to this problem are actually incomplete.

Considering the importance of the interaction between spinor fields and the space-time, in this paper, we firstly establish explicit relations between vierbien formalism and metric, and then show some simple forms of the covariant derivatives for both the Weyl spinor and the Dirac bispinor. According to these results, we finally derive the explicit form of energy momentum tensor in curved space-time.

For convenience, in this paper, we denote the metric of the Minkowskii space and the corresponding coordinates respectively by

$$\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 1), \quad X^\mu = (X, Y, Z, cT). \quad (1.1)$$

The Pauli and Dirac matrices is defined by

$$\sigma^\mu = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^\mu = (-\vec{\sigma}, \sigma^4), \quad \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3). \quad (1.3)$$

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

In the flat space-time, the Dirac equation for free bispinor ϕ reads

$$\gamma^\mu i \partial_\mu \phi = m \phi, \quad (1.5)$$

where and hereafter we set $(c = 1, \hbar = 1)$ as units for clearness. (1.5) can be written as^[5]

$$\begin{cases} \sigma^\mu i \partial_\mu \psi = m \tilde{\psi}, \\ \tilde{\sigma}^\mu i \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi} = m \psi, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ are two Weyl spinors. (1.6) is also the so-called chiral representation in gauge theory.

Different from the cases of vector and tensor, in general relativity the dynamical equations for spinor fields depend on the local frame, which make the representation of the spinor connection and the energy-momentum tensor quite complicated. We usually have two kinds of local frame, the vierbein formalism and the Newman-Penrose null frame. These two formalisms have distinguishing characteristics.

Assume $x^\mu = (x, y, z, ct)$ be the coordinates of the space-time manifold (M, g) , dX^a be the local frame in the tangent space at fixed point p , then we have the following vierbein

formalism[5]-[13]

$$dx^\mu = U^\mu_a dX^a, \quad dx_\mu = L_\mu^a dX_a, \quad U^\mu_a L_\mu^b = \delta_a^b, \quad U^\mu_a L_\nu^a = \delta_\nu^\mu. \quad (1.7)$$

or equivalently

$$g^{\mu\nu} = U^\mu_a U^\nu_b \eta^{ab}, \quad g_{\mu\nu} = L_\mu^a L_\nu^b \eta_{ab}. \quad (1.8)$$

In this paper, we use the Greek characters stands for curvilinear coordinates and Latin characters for local Euclidean coordinates, which go from 1 to 4.

The above vierbein formalism has a manifest geometrical meanings with covariant form. However, this formalism can not be uniquely expressed by metric, which can be only determined to an arbitrary local Lorentz transformation as shown bellow. Such flexibility increases difficulties in research and easily leads to ambiguous results.

The Newman-Penrose null frame is defined by 4 vectors[6]

$$\mathbf{l} = l^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad \mathbf{n} = n^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad \mathbf{m} = m^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad \bar{\mathbf{m}} = \bar{m}^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad (1.9)$$

which satisfy ($l^\mu n_\mu = 1, m^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = -1$), and all other scalar products between the elements of the frame vanish. For specially selected null frame, it is efficient to solve the solutions to the Dirac equation in metrics with some symmetry, such as the Kerr-Newman metric[14]-[20]. The elements between the null frame and vierbein formalism have the following linear relations

$$U_4^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l^\mu + n^\mu), \quad U_2^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(m^\mu + \bar{m}^\mu), \quad (1.10)$$

$$U_1^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l^\mu - n^\mu), \quad U_3^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(m^\mu - \bar{m}^\mu). \quad (1.11)$$

However the general form of (1.9) is usually quite complicated. A discussion of energy-momentum tensor for the mixed tensor-spinor fields based on this frame is provided in [21], but it looks still much complicated.

Denote the Pauli matrices in curved space-time by

$$\begin{cases} \varrho^\mu = U^\mu_a \sigma^a, & \varrho_\mu = L_\mu^a \sigma_a, \\ \tilde{\varrho}^\mu = U^\mu_a \tilde{\sigma}^a, & \tilde{\varrho}_\mu = L_\mu^a \tilde{\sigma}_a, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

then we have the anti-commutative relation

$$\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\nu + \varrho^\nu \tilde{\varrho}^\mu = \tilde{\varrho}^\mu \varrho^\nu + \tilde{\varrho}^\nu \varrho^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.13)$$

The Weyl spinor equation (1.6) in curved space-time becomes

$$\begin{cases} \varrho^\mu i \nabla_\mu \psi = m \tilde{\psi}, \\ \tilde{\varrho}^\mu i \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\psi} = m \psi, \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$, $\tilde{\nabla}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$ are spinor covariant derivatives. Γ_μ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$ are the spinor affine connections satisfying ([8] or see appendix)

$$\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha \varrho_{;\mu}^\alpha, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \varrho_\alpha \tilde{\varrho}_{;\mu}^\alpha, \quad (1.15)$$

where $\varrho_{;\mu}^\alpha = \partial_\mu \varrho^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha \varrho^\beta$ and $\tilde{\varrho}_{;\mu}^\alpha = \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\beta$ are usual vectorial covariant derivatives. For Dirac bispinor ϕ , similarly we have

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\mu \equiv U^\mu_a \gamma^a, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^\mu \tilde{\gamma}^\nu + \tilde{\gamma}^\nu \tilde{\gamma}^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\nabla_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + \bar{\Gamma}_\mu) \phi, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\gamma}_\nu \tilde{\gamma}_{;\mu}^\nu, \quad (1.17)$$

with $\bar{\Gamma}_\mu$ being the Fock-Ivannenko connection.

In [9, 10], according to the Pauli's theorem

$$\delta \tilde{\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}_\beta \delta g^{\alpha\beta} + [\tilde{\gamma}^\alpha, M], \quad (1.18)$$

where M is a traceless matrix related to the frame transformation, the energy-momentum tensor for Dirac spinor ϕ was derived as follows,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \phi^\dagger (\tilde{\gamma}^\mu i \nabla^\nu + \tilde{\gamma}^\nu i \nabla^\mu) \phi \rangle, \quad (1.19)$$

where $\phi^\dagger = \phi^+ \gamma^0$ is the Dirac conjugation, ∇^μ is the usual covariant derivatives given by (1.17). However, this result is only valid for the diagonal metric, because the following calculation shows that M is still related with $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, and provides nonzero contribution to $T^{\mu\nu}$ if the metric is nondiagonal.

The generalized energy-momentum tensor is closely related to the vierbien formalism, which can not be uniquely determined by the metric. So we straightforwardly calculate the manifest representation of vierbein formalism at first, and then derive the energy-momentum tensor based on this representation.

II. TRANSFORMATION BETWEEN VIERBEIN AND METRIC

For metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, assume the corresponding coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3) are space-like and x^4 is time-like. This means the following definitions of J_k are real numbers

$$J_1 = \sqrt{-g_{11}}, \quad J_2 = \sqrt{\begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{vmatrix}}, \quad J_3 = \sqrt{-\begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} \end{vmatrix}}, \quad J_4 = \sqrt{-\det(g)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Denote

$$u_1 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} \end{vmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{41} & g_{42} \end{vmatrix}, \quad u_3 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{21} & g_{22} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$v_1 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} \\ g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} \\ g_{32} & g_{33} & g_{34} \end{vmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{13} & g_{14} \\ g_{21} & g_{23} & g_{24} \\ g_{31} & g_{33} & g_{34} \end{vmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{vmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{14} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{24} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{34} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2.3)$$

Make the LU decomposition of matrix $(g_{\mu\nu})$, we have the following solution

Theorem 1. *For LU decomposition*

$$(g_{\mu\nu}) = L\eta L^+, \quad (g^{\mu\nu}) = U\eta U^+, \quad U = L^* = (L^+)^{-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

with positive diagonal elements, we have the following unique solution

$$L = (L_\mu^a) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{g_{11}}{J_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{g_{21}}{J_1} & \frac{J_2}{J_1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{g_{31}}{J_1} & \frac{u_1}{J_1 J_2} & \frac{J_3}{J_2} & 0 \\ -\frac{g_{41}}{J_1} & \frac{u_2}{J_1 J_2} & -\frac{v_3}{J_2 J_3} & \frac{J_4}{J_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$U = (U^\mu_a) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{J_1} & \frac{g_{21}}{J_1 J_2} & \frac{u_3}{J_2 J_3} & \frac{v_1}{J_3 J_4} \\ 0 & \frac{J_1}{J_2} & -\frac{u_1}{J_2 J_3} & -\frac{v_2}{J_3 J_4} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{J_2}{J_3} & \frac{v_3}{J_3 J_4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{J_3}{J_4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

(2.5) and (2.6) can be solved directly. For any other solutions of (2.4), we have

Theorem 2. For any solution of (2.4) L' and U' , there exists a Lorentz transformation $X'^a = \Lambda^a_b X^b$, such that

$$L' = L\Lambda^+, \quad U' = U\Lambda^{-1}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\Lambda = (\Lambda^a_b)$ is the matrix of Lorentz transformation.

Theorem 2 can be checked as follows,

$$(g_{\mu\nu}) = L(\eta_{ab})L^+ = L'(\eta_{ab})L'^+ \implies L^{-1}L'(\eta_{ab})(L^{-1}L')^+ = (\eta_{ab}). \quad (2.8)$$

Then we have a Lorentz transformation matrix $\Lambda = (\Lambda^a_b)$, such that

$$L^{-1}L' = \Lambda^+ \implies L' = L\Lambda^+, \text{ or } L'^a_\mu = L^b_\mu \Lambda^a_b. \quad (2.9)$$

Corollary 3. The decomposition (2.4) is nothing but a Schmidt orthogonalization for coordinates dx^μ of the tangent space-time in the order $dx \rightarrow dy \rightarrow dz \rightarrow dt$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{ab} dX^a dX^b \\ &= -(L_x^X dx)^2 - (L_x^Y dx + L_y^Y dy)^2 - (L_x^Z dx + L_y^Z dy + L_z^Z dz)^2 \\ &\quad + (L_x^T dx + L_y^T dy + L_z^T dz + L_t^T dt)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Corollary 3 is the direct result of (2.5), but which manifestly shows the geometrical meanings of the vierbein parameters L_μ^a . Obviously, (2.10) is much convenient for practical calculation of vierbein.

Define the spinor coefficient tensor

$$S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(U_a^\mu U_b^\nu + U_a^\nu U_b^\mu) \text{sgn}(a - b), \quad (2.11)$$

which is important for calculation of the dynamical part of the spinor connection[22]. $S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetrical for indices (μ, ν) but antisymmetrical for (a, b) . By (1.12), for solution (2.5), we can check the following results by straightforward calculation.

Theorem 4. For solution (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} &= \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu \varrho^\nu + \delta_\alpha^\nu \varrho^\mu) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a>b=1}^4 (U_a^\mu U_b^\nu + U_a^\nu U_b^\mu)(L_\alpha^a \sigma^b - L_\alpha^b \sigma^a), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu \varrho^\nu + \delta_\alpha^\nu \varrho^\mu) + \frac{1}{4} S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} (L_\alpha^a \sigma^b - L_\alpha^b \sigma^a), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu \varrho^\nu + \delta_\alpha^\nu \varrho^\mu) + \frac{1}{2} S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} L_\alpha^a \sigma^b. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Or equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial L_\alpha^n}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu U^\nu_m + \delta_\alpha^\nu U^\mu_m)\eta^{nm} + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}L_\alpha^a\eta^{nb}. \quad (2.13)$$

In (2.12) and (2.13) we set $\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\nu\mu}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varrho_\alpha}{dg_{\mu\nu}}$ ($\mu \neq \nu$) to get the tensor form. $\frac{d}{dg_{\mu\nu}}$ means the total derivative for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\nu\mu}$.

By Theorem 4, we can easily derive the following formulae.

Corollary 5. For solution (2.5), we have

$$\frac{\partial U_a^\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{1}{4}(U_a^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} + U_a^\nu g^{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}U_n^\alpha\eta^{bn}. \quad (2.14)$$

$$A^\alpha \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}(A^\mu \tilde{\gamma}^\nu + A^\nu \tilde{\gamma}^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}A^\alpha L_\alpha^a \gamma^b, \quad (2.15)$$

where A^μ is any vector. Especially, we have

$$\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^b - \tilde{\sigma}^b \sigma^a) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^b. \quad (2.16)$$

$$\varrho^\alpha \frac{\partial \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^b - \sigma^b \tilde{\sigma}^a) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}\sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^b. \quad (2.17)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma^a \gamma^b - \gamma^b \gamma^a) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}^{\mu\nu}\gamma^a \gamma^b. \quad (2.18)$$

The above results show the difference from (1.18). If the frame is selected, we need not to consider its transformation when making the variation $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$. In the case of diagonal metric, we find $S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$, and then we get the following tensor-like equations

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu \varrho^\nu + \delta_\alpha^\nu \varrho^\mu), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_\alpha^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\nu + \delta_\alpha^\nu \tilde{\varrho}^\mu). \quad (2.19)$$

(2.19) leads to the conventional energy-momentum tensor (1.19).

III. ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR OF THE SPINORS

By (1.13) and (1.16), we can rewritten the spinor connection as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\mu &= \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho_{\alpha;\mu} = \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta + \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha)] - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4}(\varrho^\alpha \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\varrho^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\beta - \varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \partial_\mu \tilde{\gamma}_\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \tilde{\gamma}^\beta - \tilde{\gamma}^\beta \tilde{\gamma}^\alpha). \quad (3.3)$$

The equations (3.1)-(3.3) are more convenient for the following calculation. The spinor equation (1.14) and the Lagrangian only depend on the following total connection,

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma \equiv \varrho^\mu \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\varrho^\mu(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}\varrho^\mu(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha), \\ \tilde{\Gamma} \equiv \tilde{\varrho}^\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varrho}^\mu(\varrho^\alpha \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}\tilde{\varrho}^\mu(\varrho^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\beta - \varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Considering that all ϱ^μ , $\tilde{\varrho}^\mu$ are Hermitian and $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$, by (1.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^+ &= \frac{1}{4}(\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha)^+ - \frac{1}{4}\varrho^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\mu - \varrho^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\varrho^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}[\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \varrho^\alpha \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\mu + \tilde{\varrho}^\mu \varrho^\beta)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\varrho^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}(\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta - g^{\mu\beta} \varrho^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then we get the anti-hermitian part of the spinor connection,

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma - \Gamma^+) = \frac{i}{8}(\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\mu), \quad (3.6)$$

In spinor connection, only Ω has dynamical effects[22]. Similarly, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega} \equiv \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{\Gamma} - \tilde{\Gamma}^+) = \frac{i}{8}(\tilde{\varrho}^\mu \varrho^\alpha \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha - \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\alpha \varrho^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\mu), \quad (3.7)$$

In the case of diagonal metric, it is easy to check $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega} = 0$.

Now we consider the coupling system of spinor and gravity. The Ricci tensor and scalar curvature can be given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\alpha - \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\beta - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\beta, \quad R \equiv g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}.$$

The total Lagrangian of the system reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_m = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}(R - 2\Lambda) + \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$, Λ stands for the cosmological factor, $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the Lagrangian of spinors.

Noticing ϱ^μ and $\tilde{\varrho}^\mu$ are all Hermitian, for (1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_m &= \Re\langle \psi^+ \varrho^\mu i \nabla_\mu \psi + \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\varrho}^\mu i \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\psi} \rangle - m(\tilde{\psi}^+ \psi + \psi^+ \tilde{\psi}), \\ &= \Re\langle \psi^+ \varrho^\mu i \partial_\mu \psi + \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\varrho}^\mu i \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi} \rangle + \psi^+ \Omega \psi + \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\Omega} \tilde{\psi} - m(\tilde{\psi}^+ \psi + \psi^+ \tilde{\psi}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9) we learn that, the spinor connection Γ_μ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu$ will vanish in $\mathcal{L}_m$ for diagonal metric due to $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega} = 0$. Variation of the Lagrangian (3.8) with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ gives the Einstein's equation

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R - \Lambda g^{\mu\nu} = \kappa T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy momentum tensor of the spinors, which is defined by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -2 \frac{\delta(\mathcal{L}_m \sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g} \delta g_{\mu\nu}} = -2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_m}{\delta L_\alpha^n} \frac{\partial L_\alpha^n}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (3.11)$$

where $g = |\det(g_{\mu\nu})|$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta L_\alpha^n}$ is the Euler derivatives

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_m}{\delta L_\alpha^n} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial L_\alpha^n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\beta \frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}_m \sqrt{g})}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial L_\alpha^n} - (\partial_\beta + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\gamma) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)}. \quad (3.12)$$

(3.11) can be proved as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_m &= -2 \int \delta [\mathcal{L}_m(L_\alpha^a, \partial_\mu L_\alpha^a) \sqrt{g}] d^4x \\ &= -2 \int \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial L_\alpha^a} \frac{\partial L_\alpha^a}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{g} d^4x - 2 \int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^a)} \delta(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^a) \sqrt{g} d^4x \\ &= -2 \int \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial L_\alpha^a} \frac{\partial L_\alpha^a}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\beta \left(\frac{\sqrt{g} \partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^a)} \right) \delta L_\alpha^a \right] \sqrt{g} d^4x \\ &= \int \left[-2 \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial L_\alpha^a} - (\partial_\beta + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\gamma) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^a)} \right) \frac{\partial L_\alpha^a}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m \right] \delta g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{g} d^4x. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_m}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} &= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_m}{\delta L_\alpha^n} \frac{\partial L_\alpha^n}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \\ &= \frac{\partial L_\alpha^n}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \Re \left\langle \psi^+ \frac{\partial \varrho^\varepsilon}{\partial L_\alpha^n} i \partial_\varepsilon \psi + \psi^+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \psi - (\partial_\beta + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\gamma) \left(\psi^+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)} \psi \right) \right\rangle + \\ &\quad \frac{\partial L_\alpha^n}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \Re \left\langle \tilde{\psi}^+ \frac{\partial \tilde{\varrho}^\varepsilon}{\partial L_\alpha^n} i \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{\psi} + \tilde{\psi}^+ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \tilde{\psi} - (\partial_\beta + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\gamma) \left(\tilde{\psi}^+ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)} \tilde{\psi} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The following contents were once discussed in [23], but the final formalism was not reached. By (3.6), it is easy to check

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial L_\alpha^n} = \frac{i}{8} \left(\frac{\partial(\varrho^\mu \tilde{\varrho}^\nu)}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \partial_\mu \varrho_\nu - \partial_\mu \varrho_\nu \frac{\partial(\tilde{\varrho}^\nu \varrho^\mu)}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \right), \quad \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)} = \frac{i}{8} (\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \sigma_n - \sigma_n \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta). \quad (3.15)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial L_\alpha^n} = \frac{i}{8} \left(\frac{\partial(\tilde{\varrho}^\mu \varrho^\nu)}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\nu - \partial_\mu \tilde{\varrho}_\nu \frac{\partial(\varrho^\nu \tilde{\varrho}^\mu)}{\partial L_\alpha^n} \right), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial(\partial_\beta L_\alpha^n)} = \frac{i}{8} (\tilde{\varrho}^\beta \varrho^\alpha \tilde{\sigma}_n - \tilde{\sigma}_n \varrho^\alpha \tilde{\varrho}^\beta). \quad (3.16)$$

Substituting (3.15) into (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = & \Re \left\langle \psi^+ \frac{\partial \varrho^\beta}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} i \partial_\beta \psi + \frac{i}{8} \psi^+ \left(\frac{\partial(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha - \partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha \frac{\partial(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \psi \right\rangle - \\ & \Re \left\langle \frac{i}{4} \psi^+ \left(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta \right) \partial_\beta \psi \right\rangle - \\ & \Re \left\langle \frac{i}{8} \psi^+ \left(\partial_\beta (\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha) \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \partial_\beta (\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta) \right) \psi \right\rangle - \\ & \Re \left\langle \frac{i}{8} \psi^+ \left(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta \right) \psi \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\gamma \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Substituting the geometrical part of the spinor connection Υ_μ , which is determined by[22]

$$\Upsilon_\mu \varrho^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varrho^\mu + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha \varrho^\mu), \quad \Upsilon_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} (L_\mu^a \partial_\nu U_a^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha), \quad (3.18)$$

into (3.17) and combining the derivative terms $\partial_\beta \psi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} = & \Re \left\langle \psi^+ \left(\frac{\partial \varrho^\beta}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{1}{4} (\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta) \right) [i(\partial_\beta + \Upsilon_\beta)] \psi \right\rangle + \\ & \Re \left\langle \frac{i}{8} \psi^+ \left(\frac{\partial(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} (\partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha) - (\partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha) \frac{\partial(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \psi \right\rangle - \\ & \Re \left\langle \frac{i}{8} \psi^+ \left(\varrho^\beta (\partial_\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha) \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} (\partial_\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha) \varrho^\beta \right) \psi \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Using the commuting relation

$$\frac{\partial(\partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\varepsilon\delta}} \right) \partial_\beta g_{\varepsilon\delta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{\varepsilon\delta}} \left(\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \partial_\beta g_{\varepsilon\delta} = \partial_\beta \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (3.20)$$

we get

$$\frac{i}{8} \left(\frac{\partial(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha - \partial_\beta \varrho_\alpha \frac{\partial(\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta)}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \left(\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \partial_\beta \left(\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \right) \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta \right). \quad (3.21)$$

Let $\Omega = \Omega_\mu \varrho^\mu$, where Ω_μ is the dynamical part of the spinor connection defined by[22]

$$\Omega_\mu = -\frac{1}{4} \left((\vec{U}^\alpha \times \vec{U}^\beta) \cdot (L_\mu^4 \partial_\alpha \vec{L}_\beta - \vec{L}_\mu \partial_\alpha L_\beta^4) + \vec{L}_\mu \cdot [(U_4^\alpha \vec{U}^\beta - U_4^\beta \vec{U}^\alpha) \times \partial_\alpha \vec{L}_\beta] \right). \quad (3.22)$$

By (2.12), (2.16) and (3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} &= -2\Re \left\langle \psi^+ \left(\frac{\partial \varrho^\beta}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{1}{4} (\varrho^\beta \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \varrho^\beta) \right) \hat{p}_\beta \psi \right\rangle \\
&\quad - 2\Re \left\langle \psi^+ \left(\varrho^\beta \frac{\partial \Omega_\beta}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} [\varrho^\beta \partial_\beta (\tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}) - \partial_\beta (\frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha) \varrho^\beta] \right) \psi \right\rangle, \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \psi^+ [(g^{\mu\alpha} \varrho^\nu + g^{\nu\alpha} \varrho^\mu) + S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^b \varrho^\alpha] \hat{p}_\alpha \psi \rangle - \\
&\quad 2\Re \langle \psi^+ \varrho^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^b \right) \psi \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

in which $\hat{p}_\beta = i(\partial_\beta + \Upsilon_\beta) + \Omega_\beta$ is the generalized momentum operator[22]. $\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}$ can be calculated according to (2.13) and (2.14), but how to simplify this term is still a tiresome problem.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \tilde{\psi}^+ [(g^{\mu\alpha} \tilde{\varrho}^\nu + g^{\nu\alpha} \tilde{\varrho}^\mu) + S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^b \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha] \hat{p}_\alpha \tilde{\psi} \rangle - \\
&\quad 2\Re \langle \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^b \right) \tilde{\psi} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Then we get the energy-momentum tensor of a bispinor

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{E} + \tilde{\mathcal{E}} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m, \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \phi^\dagger [(g^{\mu\alpha} \tilde{\gamma}^\nu + g^{\nu\alpha} \tilde{\gamma}^\mu) + S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \gamma^a \gamma^b \tilde{\gamma}^\alpha] \hat{p}_\alpha \phi \rangle - \\
&\quad 2\Re \langle \phi^\dagger \tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \gamma^a \gamma^b \right) \phi \rangle - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_m.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

In the case of a spinor moving in the external interaction eA_α , we only need to replace the original momentum operator by

$$\hat{p}_\alpha = i(\partial_\alpha + \Upsilon_\alpha) + \Omega_\alpha - eA_\alpha. \tag{3.26}$$

Substituting the Dirac equation $\tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \hat{p}_\alpha \phi = m\phi$ into (3.25) we finally get the energy-momentum tensor for Dirac and Weyl spinors

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \phi^\dagger (\tilde{\gamma}^\nu \hat{p}^\mu + \tilde{\gamma}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu) \phi \rangle - 2\Re \langle \phi^\dagger \tilde{\gamma}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \gamma^a \gamma^b \right) \phi \rangle - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_\phi. \tag{3.27}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \psi^+ (\varrho^\nu \hat{p}^\mu + \varrho^\mu \hat{p}^\nu) \psi \rangle - 2\Re \langle \psi^+ \varrho^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^b \right) \psi \rangle - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_\psi. \tag{3.28}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\psi}) = \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \tilde{\psi}^+ (\tilde{\varrho}^\nu \hat{p}^\mu + \tilde{\varrho}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu) \tilde{\psi} \rangle - 2\Re \langle \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\varrho}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_\alpha}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} - \frac{i}{8} \partial_\alpha S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^b \right) \tilde{\psi} \rangle - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\psi}}. \tag{3.29}$$

In the space-time with diagonal metric, we have $\Omega_\alpha = S_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = 0$, and then

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \Re \langle \phi^\dagger (\tilde{\gamma}^\nu \hat{p}^\mu + \tilde{\gamma}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu) \phi \rangle - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_\phi. \quad (3.30)$$

For a linear spinor, we have $\mathcal{L}_\phi = 0$ and the following classical approximation

$$\hat{p}^\nu \phi \rightarrow mu^\mu \phi, \quad \phi^\dagger \tilde{\gamma}^\nu \phi \rightarrow u^\nu \sqrt{1-v^2} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}). \quad (3.31)$$

So we get the usual energy-momentum tensor for a classical point particle

$$T^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow mu^\mu u^\nu \sqrt{1-v^2} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}). \quad (3.32)$$

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We manifestly established the representation of the vierbein formalism and the transformation between vierbein and the metric. These results are useful for the study of spinor fields in curved space-time. (2.10) not only displays the geometrical meaning of the vierbein, but also provides a convenient method to calculate vierbein elements L_μ^a .

The explicit form of energy momentum tensors and some equivalent but simple forms of the covariant derivatives for both the Weyl spinor and the Dirac bispinor in curved space-time have been derived. (3.27) shows the spinor has complicated interaction with the gravimagnetic field. However, in the case of diagonal metric, the energy-momentum tensor still takes the usual succinct form.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to his supervisors Prof. Ta-Tsien Li and Prof. Han-Ji Shang for their encouragement and guidance. Thanks to Prof. B. Saha for checking the language in an old version.

-
- [1] B. Saha, *Spinor field and accelerated regimes in cosmology*, ArXiv:gr-qc/0512050.
 - [2] M. O. Ribas, F. P. Devecchi, G. M. Kremer, *Fermions as sources of accelerated regimes in cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 123502, ArXiv:gr-qc/0511099.
 - [3] B. Saha, T. Boyadjiev, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 124010(2004).

- [4] B. Saha, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 124006(2004).
- [5] M. Sachs, *General relativity and matter (Ch.3)*, D. Reidel, 1982.
- [6] E. T. Newman, R. Penrose, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 566(1962)
- [7] W. L. Bade, H. Jehle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **25(3)**, (1953)714
- [8] P. G. Bergmann, Phys. Rev. **107(2)**, (1957)624
- [9] D. R. Brill, J. A. Wheeler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29(3)**, (1957)465
- [10] H. Arthur Weldon, *Fermions without vierbeins in curved space-time*, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 104010, arXiv:gr-qc/0009086
- [11] J. P. Crawford, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg. **2(1)**, (1992)75
- [12] R. A. Sharipov, *A note on the metric connections for chiral and Dirac spinors*, arXiv:math.DG/0602359
- [13] R. A. Sharipov, *A note on Dirac spinors in a non-flat space-time of general relativity*, arXiv:math.DG/0601262
- [14] S. Chandrasekhar, *The solution of Dirac's equation in Kerr geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **349**, 571-575 (1976)
- [15] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, Oxford University Press, (1992)
- [16] D. Page, *Dirac equation around a charged, rotating black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 1509 (1976)
- [17] B. Carter, R. G. McLenaghan, *Generalized master equations for wave equation separation in a Kerr or Kerr-Newman black hole background*, in *Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity*, ed. by Remo Ruffini, ICTP Trieste (1979)
- [18] F. Finster, *Local $U(2, 2)$ Symmetry in Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, J. Math. Phys. **39**, No. 12, 6276-6290 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9703083
- [19] F. Finster, *et al.*, *Non-existence of time-periodic solutions of the Dirac equation in an axisymmetric black hole geometry*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000)902-929
- [20] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, S.-T. Yau, *The Long-Time Dynamics of Dirac Particles in the Kerr-Newman Black Hole Geometry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003)25-52
- [21] H. B. Zhang, *Note on the energy-momentum tensor for general mixed tensor-spinor fields*, Commun. Theor. Phys. **44** (2005) 1007-1010, arXiv:math-ph/0412064
- [22] Y. Q. Gu, *The Spinor Connection and its Dynamical Effects*, arXiv:gr-qc/0610001v3
- [23] Y. Q. Gu, B. Saha, *The covariant derivatives and energy momentum tensor of spinors*, arXiv:gr-qc/0609043v2

Appendix: the Proof of the Spinor Connection

The covariant derivatives of the spinor must keep the invariant form under both the coordinate transformation and the frame transformation. In order to determine the algebraic conditions of the spinor connection, considering the consistency of the covariant derivatives of vector and spinor is the simplest way. The following proof was given in [8, 10, 23]. In order to keep the integrality of the paper, we introduce the proof by taking the Weyl spinor ψ as an example. The treatment is enlightening.

Since $q^\mu = \psi^+ \varrho^\mu \psi$ is a vector, on the one hand, we have the covariant derivative for vector

$$q_{;\nu}^\mu = \partial_\nu q^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\omega}^\mu q^\omega = (\partial_\nu \psi)^+ \varrho^\mu \psi + \psi^+ \varrho^\mu (\partial_\nu \psi) + \psi^+ \varrho_{;\nu}^\mu \psi. \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, we have the definition of covariant derivative for spinor

$$\begin{aligned} q_{;\nu}^\mu &= (\nabla_\nu \psi)^+ \varrho^\mu \psi + \psi^+ \varrho^\mu (\nabla_\nu \psi), \\ &= (\partial_\nu \psi)^+ \varrho^\mu \psi + \psi^+ \varrho^\mu (\partial_\nu \psi) + \psi^+ (\Gamma_\nu^+ \varrho^\mu + \varrho^\mu \Gamma_\nu) \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

In contrast (4.1) with (4.2), and noticing the arbitrary ψ , we get the algebraic condition to determine the connection as follows

$$\Gamma_\nu^+ \varrho^\mu + \varrho^\mu \Gamma_\nu = \varrho_{;\nu}^\mu. \quad (4.3)$$

For any 2×2 matrix A , It is easy to check

$$\tilde{\varrho}_\mu A \varrho^\mu = 2\text{tr}(A), \quad \tilde{\varrho}_\mu \varrho^\mu = 4. \quad (4.4)$$

$\tilde{\varrho}_\mu$ left times (4.3), we have

$$2\text{tr}(\Gamma_\nu)^+ + 4\Gamma_\nu = \tilde{\varrho}_\mu \varrho_{;\nu}^\mu. \quad (4.5)$$

Taking trace of (4.5), we have

$$4[\text{tr}(\Gamma_\nu)^+ + \text{tr}(\Gamma_\nu)] = \text{tr}(\tilde{\varrho}_\mu \varrho_{;\nu}^\mu) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

The solution is given by

$$\text{tr}(\Gamma_\nu) = i2eA_\nu, \quad (4.7)$$

where coefficient e and field A_ν are real and arbitrary. Then we get the general solution of spinor connection

$$\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varrho}_\nu\varrho_{;\mu}^\nu + ieA_\mu. \quad (4.8)$$

(4.8) reflects the consistency of the gravitation with the other kind interaction. In flat space-time the nonzero eA_μ results in physical effects, so we have the traceless spinor connection only relative to the geometrical effects

$$\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varrho}_\nu\varrho_{;\mu}^\nu. \quad (4.9)$$

Similarly we have $\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\varrho_\nu\tilde{\varrho}_{;\mu}^\nu$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\gamma}_\nu\tilde{\gamma}_{;\mu}^\nu$.